**Origination Date:** 07/11/17

**Originator:** iconectiv

### Change Order Number: NANC 499

**Description:** SV Modify of Due Date Validation Against NPA-NXX Effective Date

**Functional Backwards Compatible:** Yes

**IMPACT/CHANGE ASSESSMENT**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| DOC | FRS | IIS |
| Y | N |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CMIP | GDMO | ASN.1 | **Neustar NPAC** | Iconectiv NPAC | SOA | LSMS |
| N | N | TBD | Y | TBD | TBD |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| XML | XIS | XSD | **Neustar NPAC** | Iconectiv NPAC | SOA | LSMS |
| N | N | TBD | Y | TBD | TBD |

**Business Need**

Current NPAC SMS FRS requirements for modifying SVs validate that the due date on a modify must be greater than or equal to the NPA-NXX Live Timestamp (the date/time the first SV is created or first NPA-NXX-X is created within the NPA-NXX plus the First Usage Effective Date Window tunable parameter). With the industry decision to modify the First Usage Effective Date Window tunable parameter to “0”, the FRS requirement for validating SV due date when the SV is created was changed from only validating the SV due date must be greater than or equal to the NPA-NXX Live Timestamp to validating against the NPA-NXX Live Timestamp as well as validating the SV due date must be greater than or equal to the NPA-NXX Effective Date. The similar FRS requirement for validating the due date on an SV modify should also have changed but was not.

**Description of Change:**

Changes detailed below.

Requirements:

Section 5.1.2.2.2.1 of FRS: Modification of a Pending or Conflict Subscription Version

Re-instate Requirement RR5-54 for validating the due date for SV on modify to be against the NPA-NXX Effective Date.

RR5-54 Modify Subscription Version - Due Date Validation for NPA-NXX Effective Date

NPAC SMS shall allow a request to modify the due date of a Subscription Version, when the new value is equal to, or greater than, the corresponding NPA-NXX effective date.